Course Location, Dates, and Times:
- This is an online course. The course calendar includes the suggested dates for reviewing the lessons.

Course Professor:
Lori Carter-Edwards, PhD
- Evaluator and Research Associate Professor, Public Health Leadership Program and Department of Health Behavior; Associate Director, Community Academic Resources for Engaged Scholarship (CARES), NC Translational and Clinical Sciences Institute (NC TraCS)
- 4111 McGavran-Greenberg Hall, Gillings School of Global Public Health, 135 Dauer Drive CB#7469, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7469
- Lori-carter-edwards@unc.edu; 919.966.5305

Course Overview
Careful planning and evaluation of public health programs are essential competencies for public health professionals. Through this course students will gain a basic understanding of how to implement public health programs and evaluate their effectiveness. As this course is designed to be grounded in public health practice, you will complete the course with the skills necessary to develop both a program and evaluation plan. Given the importance of public health planning and evaluation occurring within the context of interdisciplinary teams, students in this course will also discuss and practice skills for building effective teams and accomplishing individual and group objectives through team work.

Course Description
Program planning and evaluation is a deliberate approach in which public health professionals:
- Identify a health problem
- Develop goals and objectives to address the health problem
- Develop a set of key activities/strategies to reach a set of desired improvements
- Establish a means to measure the attainment of the desired improvements.

Learning Objectives and Competencies
Objectives:
1. Define program planning and evaluation.
2. Develop an understanding of the processes involved in planning and evaluating public health programs.
3. Apply skills to organize, design, and evaluate an effective health program and evaluation.
4. Articulate a theoretical perspective of the program planning and evaluating process.
5. Identify characteristics of an effectively functioning team.
6. Demonstrate tools and techniques used to promote team development and to diagnose and respond to conflict.

Program Planning and Evaluation Competencies:*  
- Develops options for policies, programs, and services (e.g., secondhand smoking policies, data use policies, HR policies, immunization programs, food safety programs)
- Explains the importance of evaluations for improving policies, programs, and services

Public Health Leadership Competencies:* **
- Develop creative capacities to optimize learning, critical thinking, and analysis skills.
- Describes the ways public health, health care, and other organizations can work together or individually to impact the health of a community.
Contributes to development of a vision for a healthy community (e.g., emphasis on prevention, health equity for all, excellence and innovation).


Course Format
This course consists of contact hours via required narrated online tutorials posted on the class Sakai site, as well as through assigned readings, online discussion forums, and assigned team projects.

Students will be assigned to a project team for the duration of the course. Teams will be formulated as best as possible to reflect the diversity across the class.

The course is composed of weekly modules. The content of each module is presented through assigned readings, online presentations/lectures, and completion of course assignments. Required reading and written assignments reinforce and expand the online presentations/tutorials and discussions. Written assignments and project work products – individual and team assignments – are submitted for grading.

The middle of the course will be dedicated to completing a program plan and the end of the course will be dedicated to the completion of a qualitative evaluation plan. Both will build from the weekly team assignments that you complete.

You will also have an individual assignment where you will critically assess the work of a program planner or evaluator that you will identify to interview.

Method of Instruction

- **Readings:** Substantial readings are assigned for each unit of this course. Complete the readings for each lesson first before proceeding to tutorials and activities. Reading materials are found in required textbooks or are available online in each lesson.

- **Tutorials:** Some lessons include an audio or video tutorial – a pre-recorded, online lecture accompanied by slides. Tutorials highlight information and concepts presented in greater depth in readings and throughout each lesson.

- **Short Videos:** Throughout the course I will try to provide short videos from me to supplement explanations on key points.

- **Weekly Team Assignments:** This component is designed to help you reinforce and apply concepts presented in the lesson. Generally speaking, these assignments are 1-2 page papers which describe an element of your team’s program plan (e.g. planning issues, context, etc.) These papers will be prepared and submitted as a team. Requirements regarding length and content will vary from week to week so be sure to read each assignment’s instructions thoroughly. More information on the team assignments can be found on pages 7-10 of this syllabus under “Team Assignments”.

- **Team Teleconferences with Instructor:** Each team will have two scheduled teleconference sessions with the instructor in order to receive guidance and feedback on the team’s approaches/strategies. These calls will also serve to determine team progress and participation. You will be provided more detailed information regarding how and when to schedule these calls at a later date.

- **Group Discussions:** Discussion forums bring you into contact with your classmates as you share ideas, opinions, and experiences relating to key concepts presented in the course. Your team may also choose to use chat rooms or blogs to communicate, or other platforms (e.g., Google Hangout, which is not in Sakai). While there
are no requirements regarding the extent of use of the group discussions, they may help the instructor provide feedback on progress, and help in determining level of team participation.

- **Individual Assignment:** The individual assignment is a two-part assignment in which you will interview a program planner or evaluator about a specific public health program plan or evaluation and then critically assess their approach to the work. Part One of the assignment will allow you the opportunity to meet with a program planner and/or evaluator and learn more about their experience. In Part Two, you will draw on course lessons to critique their strategies and approach.

- **Program and Evaluation Plans:** This is a culmination of the tasks you will complete in team assignments for program planning and team assignments for evaluation. You will rely on the development of a logic model to guide the development of both plans. As you develop your team’s plan throughout the course, you will be prompted to consider the numerous facets of program planning and evaluation. The goal of this exercise is to provide you with the necessary skills to create a thoughtful and effective planning and evaluation plan, both for this class and in the future.

- **Instructional Content Example:** For the purposes of instruction on program planning and evaluation, course materials and the program and evaluation plans will be built around a cardiovascular disease health issue. The course will provide both domestic and international context from which to work. For our domestic context we will be focusing on North Carolina and internationally we will focus on Saudi Arabia.

### Resources

**Required Text:**

- Web and other references available in the reading list on the Sakai site.

**Course Website:**

- Sakai at https://sakai.unc.edu/portal use ONYEN and password to login; site: PUBH746.01W.FA16
- This website will be used extensively during the course for students to access required online tutorials and readings (not in the course text).
- Class announcements and other important communications will be distributed through this site, so please ensure your email address is correctly reflected in ConnectCarolina.
- Dedicated Forums/Team Sites will be established to facilitate Team communications, collaboration, storage of team documentation, and final posting of team assignments to be graded.
- Students will also be able to check their individual grades on the Gradebook.

### Valuing Diversity

Promoting and valuing diversity in the classroom enriches learning and broadens everyone’s perspectives. Inclusion and tolerance can lead to respect for others and their opinions and is critical to maximizing the learning that occurs in this course. This may challenge our own closely held ideas and personal comfort zones. The results, however, create a sense of community and promote excellence in the learning environment.

Diversity includes consideration of (1) the variety of life experiences other have had, and (2) factors related to “diversity of presence”, including, among others, age, economic circumstances, ethnic identification, disability, gender, geographic origin, race, religion, sexual orientation, social position.

This course follows principles of inclusion, respect, tolerance, and acceptance that support the values of diversity. The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill is committed to equality of educational opportunity. The University does not discriminate in offering access to its educational programs and activities on the basis of age, color, creed, disability, gender, gender expression, gender identity, genetic information, national origin, race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, or veteran status. The Equal Opportunity and Compliance Office (100 E. Franklin Street, Unit 110, CB #9160, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-9160 or 919.966.3576) has been designated to
handle inquiries regarding the University’s non-discrimination policies. 
http://policies.unc.edu/policies/nondiscrim/

**UNC Honor System**
The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill has had a student-led honor system for over 100 years. Academic integrity is at the heart of Carolina and we all are responsible for upholding the ideals of honor and integrity. The student-led Honor System is responsible for adjudicating any suspected violations of the Honor Code and all suspected instances of academic dishonesty will be reported to the honor system.

Academic work is a joint enterprise involving faculty and students. Both have a fundamental investment in the enterprise and both must share responsibility for ensuring its integrity. In relation to the Honor Code, therefore, specific responsibilities of the faculty which parallel the responsibilities of the students have been formally adopted by the Faculty Council. Information, including your responsibilities as a student is outlined in the Instrument of Student Judicial Governance. Your full participation and observance of the Honor Code is expected.

**Academic Dishonesty:**
Plagiarism in the form of "deliberate" or "reckless" representation of another's words, thoughts, or ideas as one's own without attribution in connection with submission of academic work, whether graded or otherwise. Plagiarism can take many forms and there may be a number of reasons why it occurs. To avoid these acts, some are examples as are follows:

- Quote and cite any words that are not your own.
- If you paraphrase the words of another, you must still give proper attribution.
- The default citation style for the UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health is APA Style (American Psychological Association). However, AMA Style (American Medical Association) may also be accepted by the instructor.
- "If you look it up, write it down."

**Authorized vs. Unauthorized Collaboration:**
All academic work in this course, including homework, quizzes, and exams, is to be your own work, unless otherwise specifically provided. It is your responsibility if you have any doubt to confirm whether or not collaboration is permitted.

**Unauthorized Materials:**
Course assignments will indicate if students are permitted to use old exams, outside resources, internet articles, or any other materials in the completion of academic assignments.

**Resources for Additional Information:**
Students wishing to seek additional information are welcome to contact the course instructor or the Office of Student Conduct at 919.962.0805 or review the UNC Graduate Record.

**Grading**
A students’ final grade will be based on a combination of team participation (15%), individual assignment (15%), team assignments (20%) and submission of a program plan (25%) and submission of an evaluation plan (25%).

UNC Graduate Level grading will be applied:
H = High Pass; P = Pass; L = Low Pass; F = Fail; IN = Work Incomplete*
*A temporary grade that converts to an administrative fail unless the incomplete coursework is completed so that the temporary grade is replaced with a permanent grade before the last day of class for the same term one year later.
A quality final group case analysis project, participation in discussion forums, and completion of online assignments earns a P grade, indicating solid graduate performance. Exceptional performance (95% or better) will merit an H in the course.

The grading rubric is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Composition</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Individual and Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Assignment: Assessment of a Program or Evaluation Plan</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Assignments (Weekly – Includes PowerPoint Slides of Team’s Program and Evaluation Plans)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Plan</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Plan</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Peer Evaluation**

The purpose of the peer evaluation is to evaluate each of your team members on their performance as a team member in completing team assignments. This electronic peer evaluation is completed once at the end of the course. In the peer evaluation, you will be asked to rate individual team members on each of the following five statements using a 5-point Likert scale (5=strongly agree; 4=agree; 3=somewhat agree; 2=disagree; and 1=strongly disagree):

- This team member actively participated in team assignments;
- This team member accomplished tasks on time;
- This team member's work reflected an acceptable level of thought and effort;
- This team member functioned as a valuable member of the team by supporting the efforts of fellow team members;
- This team member would make an excellent project manager for this team.

In addition to the five statements, the peer evaluation has an open-ended question in which you can write specific comments (no longer than 3-4 sentences) on the performance of each of your team members or to provide any specific information to the professor. You will receive an overview of the feedback provided by your team after the evaluations have been submitted and tallied. Follow-up by the professor will be undertaken with individuals and/or teams as required by the aggregated average scores that will be reported to students; comments will not be shared.

**Course Policies and Requirements**

- Class participation is encouraged and expected, through actively participating in individual group discussions and activities – whether team meetings and teleconferences, posting on your team’s forum site in Sakai, or other course-related participation.
- Assigned readings and online tutorials are to be completed by the date in the course calendar.
- If there is something you do not understand, ask a question. Email Dr. Carter-Edwards at lori_carter-edwards@unc.edu, or call 919.966.5305. **However, please feel free to ask your question, as it is likely something that other class members might also not understand.**
- Questions and replies that are addressed with Dr. Carter-Edwards or her teaching assistant, Emily Kiser (eekiser@email.unc.edu) outside of class that are relevant to other class members will be posted to the course Sakai site for clarification and communication for all.
- The Honor Code described above is in effect for the duration of this class. If you have a question about how certain activities, especially group activities, might be interpreted under the Honor Code, please ask.
- A grade of incomplete may be taken only because of illness or special circumstances and **only with the permission of your departmental adviser and the course instructor.**
As course professor, Dr. Carter-Edwards reserves the right to make changes to the syllabus, including project due dates, when unforeseen circumstances occur. While no changes to the syllabus are anticipated, if they are, the changes will be announced as early as possible.

THIS COURSE INCLUDES THE FOLLOWING ASSIGNMENTS:

1. A project team charter
2. A team moderator schedule
3. Program planning team assignments and the final program plan
4. Evaluation planning team assignments and the final evaluation plan
5. Peer evaluations of team members
6. Overall course evaluation
7. Individual assignment

TEAM ASSIGNMENTS

Please refer to the Sakai site for full instructions for the team assignments and the course reading materials.

1. Team Assignment A

   Complete Project Team Charter and Team Moderator Schedule
   Your initial team assignments are to develop a project team charter and a team moderator schedule. See Word documents under Week 1 for the full instructions.

2. Team Assignment B

   Assessing Health Problem Scopes and Prioritizing Program Planning Issues
   In 1 page, summarize the cardiovascular health problems in Pitt County, NC and in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. In 1-2 pages, identify existing health data, health plans, and /or policy frameworks related to your team’s assigned health problem and location that help your group set priorities for activities of your program plan.

3. Team Assignment C

   Context of a Program Plan
   Write a 1-2 page paper that defines the context of your program planning regarding your health topic. Identify challenges you might face and possible solutions to use to move forward with planning.
   Consider:
   • the political environment;
   • consistency with local, state and national priorities;
   • acceptability to providers and recipients;
   • possible financial resources;
   • technical feasibility; and
   • stakeholders and other factors.

4. Team Assignment D
Theoretical Framework for a Program Plan
There have been a number of identified models published in public health journals and texts and best practices that help explain how theory can inform the development of successful programs.

Submit a 1-2 page paper on what theories you will utilize to guide your choice of activities in the development of your program plan to lead to your desired outcomes. Correlate how the theories you choose impact each activity you are choosing for the plan.

5. Team Assignment E

SMART Objectives
Develop goals and objectives for your program plan. Be sure that objectives are (SMART) - specific, measurable, achievable, and time-specific, utilizing format from the lecture.
- You should include both short term (1-3 years) and long-term (3-5 years) objectives for your program plan.
- Try to develop no more than 4 short term and 4 long-term objectives.

6. Team Assignment F

Developing a Logic Model
Using the basic logic model template from the Kellogg Foundation Guide (2006) (http://www.epa.gov/evaluate/pdf/eval-guides/logic-model-development-guide.pdf), develop a 1-page logic model for your program plan. Utilize your goal and objectives to guide and align with the logic model.
Remember to identify:
- Inputs (what resources you have – needs assessments, expertise, and partnerships)
- Activities
- Outputs (what you expect to achieve as evidence of service delivery)
- Short term outcomes (1-3 years)
- Long term outcomes (3-5 years)
- Impact (expected changes within 7-10 years).

7. Team Assignment G

Developing an Implementation Plan
Develop an implementation plan in narrative form that includes goals and objectives, with strategies/activities that you will use to meet the objectives, the plan’s resource needs (human and fiscal/budgetary) and a timeline. This work plan will form the core of your final program plan and will guide the implementation of your intervention.

8. Team Assignment H

Developing a Budget
Develop a budget that supports the strategies and activities necessary to carry out your program plan. Include personnel and other resources needed. Include a budget justification summary to provide a rationale for the funds needed to implement the program plan.
9. **Team Assignment I**

**Final Program Plan**
This assignment is the final culmination of your weekly assignments to create a complete intervention. The final program plan should be limited to 15 pages (including sections 2-8) and table of contents. You can include an appendix, if needed. Include the below sections:
   i. Cover page (title, course number, team members’ names)
   ii. Overview of the plan
   iii. Context of program plan
   iv. Relevant program theories
   v. Goals and objectives
   vi. Program Implementation (activities/strategies, staffing, estimated timeline, detailed budget)
   vii. Logic model
   viii. References
   ix. Appendix, if needed. (charts, graphs, material examples, etc.)

10. **Team Assignment J**

**Framework for an Evaluation Plan**
In preparing for an evaluation, it is important to consider the why a program needs to be evaluated, the roles you might take on as an evaluator, the stakeholders’ questions, and any potential challenges you may encounter in implementing the evaluation. Please address the following questions in two to three pages double spaced, drawing on the readings and lectures for this lesson:
   i. What are the primary reasons this program should be evaluated?
   ii. How would you describe your role as an evaluator for this program? Would you recommend an internal or external evaluator, or both? Why? What are the key skills and/or characteristics the evaluator would need to evaluate this program?
   iii. Which stakeholders would need to be involved in the evaluation and what might be the key questions the different stakeholders would be most concerned with? In what ways might you involve them throughout the process?
   iv. What are some potential challenges the evaluation might encounter?

11. **Team Assignment K**

**Developing a Qualitative Evaluation Plan**
Develop a qualitative evaluation plan in narrative form that is designed to address formative (process) and impact (outcome) evaluation. In 2-3 pages, using the previously developed logic model, include the evaluation questions to address, and the qualitative approach to be used to assess the process of executing the plan as well as the preliminary impact of the program on 1-2 outcomes.

12. **Team Assignment L**

**Develop a Slide Presentation Disseminating Your Program and Evaluation Plans**
Develop a slide presentation (no more than 20 slides) for sharing your program and evaluation plans. Identify the stakeholder audience(s) for whom the presentation is targeted. In the presentation, state the background/rationale for the plans, the core objectives, overall plan for program implementation, overall plan for evaluation, overall budget, and the timeline. Summarize your proposed plans for dissemination to the stakeholder(s) targeted. The goal to this
assignment is to develop the ability to take a large amount of information and compile it into a succinct presentation format. Clarity and conciseness are key.

13. Team Assignment M

Final Qualitative Evaluation Plan
This assignment is the final culmination of your weekly assignments to create a qualitative intervention. The final program plan should be limited to 10 pages and table of contents. You can include an appendix, if needed. The final evaluation plan should include the following key sections:

i. Rationale and Approach to the Evaluation
ii. Evaluation Study Design
iii. Evaluation Methods
iv. Evaluation Planning Table
v. Dissemination Plans
vi. Completion of the IRB questions
INDIVIDUAL ASSIGNMENT: PUBH 746

Interview a program planner or evaluator about a specific public health program plan or evaluation and then critically assess their approach to the work. The total length of the assignment is 5 pages double-spaced, maximum.

PART I. As part of the interview, ask the programmer or evaluator the following guiding questions. Please DO NOT name the planner, evaluator or their location. You only need to answer the questions based on who you interview.

Questions if you are interviewing a program planner:
1. Describe the goal of the health program that was developed?
2. Describe what prompted your agency to develop this specific intervention?
3. What are the objectives for the intervention?
4. How was your program plan funded? Did you have any role in obtaining funding?
5. Did you use any program theories to help guide you in choosing your activities? If not, how did you choose your activities?
6. Is this a time-limited program plan? If so, do you have plans to promote sustainability?
7. How have you collaborated with stakeholders? Who are they?
8. What are the successes and/or challenges of this program plan?
9. Have you been evaluating the program plan? If so, please briefly summarize.

Questions if you are interviewing an evaluator:
1. Describe the goal of the health program that was developed.
2. What are the objectives, if they exist, for the program?
3. At what point in the development and/or implementation of the program did you begin evaluating this intervention?
4. How and why were you asked to evaluate this program?
5. How did you work with the program planner to develop and implement the evaluation plan?
6. Describe how you developed the evaluation plan? What were the key components of it?
7. What are the key methods and short and long term outcomes you are measuring?
8. What have been the successes and/or challenges in evaluating this program?
9. Have you involved key stakeholders in the evaluation (eg., program planner, funders, other stakeholders)? If so, who?

Assignment Length for Part 1. Two pages double-spaced. Take notes and ask for supporting program planning and evaluation documents. Cite all documents.

PART II Critical Assessment. To assess the interview, draw on the course materials to apply critical thinking related to the program planning and/or evaluation process. Some questions we’d like for you to focus on include:

Critical Assessment Questions for the Program Planner Interview What elements of the planning process did the planner include? What elements were missing? From your perspective, did this seem to affect the quality of the program?
1. If you were a consultant, how would you describe the strengths of the current program? The challenges they are facing?
2. If you were a consultant hired to make suggestions to improve the structure of this program or future ones they might develop, what would be three to four key recommendations you would make? Support each recommendation with a few sentences and citations.
Critical Assessment Questions for the Evaluation Interview

1. If you were a consultant, how would you describe the strengths of the current evaluation? The challenges they are facing in carrying out the evaluation? How have these challenges affected the scope and effectiveness of the evaluation?

2. If you were a consultant hired to make suggestions to improve the overall evaluation of the program or future ones they may become involved with, what would be three to four key recommendations you would make? Support each recommendation with a few sentences and citations.

Assignment length for Part 2. This section should be 3 double spaced pages. Citations can go at the end on page 6.

(See Course Calendar below)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesson</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aug 23</td>
<td><strong>Introduction:</strong> Tools &amp; Techniques for Working in Teams in an Online Learning Environment: Intro to Team Development</td>
<td>Introductions Team Assignment A</td>
<td>Sep 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A/2B</td>
<td>Sep 6</td>
<td><strong>Overview of Program Planning:</strong> Prioritizing Program Planning Issues</td>
<td>Team Assignment B</td>
<td>Sep 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sep 15</td>
<td><strong>The Context of Program Planning:</strong> Challenges and Strategies for Success Tools &amp; Techniques for Working in Teams: Addressing Common Issues in Team Development</td>
<td>Team Assignment C</td>
<td>Sep 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sep 22</td>
<td><strong>Using Program Theory Frameworks</strong></td>
<td>Team Assignment D</td>
<td>Sep 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sep 29</td>
<td><strong>Developing Program Goals and Objectives</strong></td>
<td>Team Assignment E</td>
<td>Oct 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Oct 6</td>
<td><strong>Logic Models</strong></td>
<td>Team Assignment F</td>
<td>Oct 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Oct 13</td>
<td><strong>Implementation of a Program Plan</strong></td>
<td>Team Assignment G</td>
<td>Oct 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SPRING BREAK OCT 19-23</strong></td>
<td>Program Planning Progress: Team Question, Answer, and Online Discussion with Instructor – Schedule dates for conference call</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Oct 20</td>
<td><strong>Budgeting</strong></td>
<td>Team Assignment H</td>
<td>Oct 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Oct 25</td>
<td><strong>Monitoring a Program Plan</strong></td>
<td>Individual Assignment, Part 1</td>
<td>Nov 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Nov 3</td>
<td><strong>Sustainability</strong></td>
<td>Team Assignment I, FINAL Program Plan</td>
<td>Nov 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Nov 10</td>
<td><strong>Introduction to and Rationale for Program Evaluation</strong></td>
<td>Team Assignment J</td>
<td>Nov 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Graded PEER Evaluation</strong></td>
<td>Program Evaluation Progress: Team Question, Answer, and Online Discussion with Instructor – Schedule dates for conference call</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nov 17</td>
<td><strong>Utility of Qualitative Evaluations</strong></td>
<td>Team Assignment K</td>
<td>Nov 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Nov 22</td>
<td><strong>Developing a Qualitative Evaluation Plan</strong></td>
<td>Individual Assignment, Part 2</td>
<td>Nov 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Nov 29</td>
<td><strong>Communicating Your Program and Evaluation Plans with Others</strong></td>
<td>Team Assignment L</td>
<td>Dec 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Dec 7</td>
<td><strong>Last Day of Classes</strong></td>
<td>Team Assignment M, FINAL Qualitative Evaluation Plan</td>
<td>Dec 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unless otherwise noted, all assignments and discussion summaries are due to the instructor by 9:00 am on Tuesday following the assignment week.

**Holidays during course (no classes): Labor Day (Sep 5); Thanksgiving (Nov 23-25); Winter Holiday (Dec 19-Jan 9).