This core course is designed to provide students with an overview of the structure, systems, and policies of health care delivery in the United States. The goal is to increase students’ knowledge and abilities to analyze and address health care issues from both management and policy perspectives. The major structural/system issues include the “big three,” access, quality, and financing, which includes Medicare, Medicaid, and private insurance. Other system topics include the uninsured, hospitals, long-term care, mental health, oral health, safety net systems of care, and public health. The course prepares learners to understand and apply basic concepts in policy analysis and advocacy in practice settings. The course concludes with a brief overview of non-health system issues that affect health, including social determinants of health. The course applies learning techniques that are highly interactive, encourages discussion and debate, and prompts learners to seek out their own answers to complex problems in our health care system.

### Learning Objectives and HPM Competencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Learning Objective</th>
<th>Competencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Distinguish among various health care payers, providers, organizations and functions</td>
<td>Analytical Thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Understand past, current, and emerging key issues in health care financing, insurance, delivery, organization, policy, administration, access, and quality in the United States</td>
<td>Analytical Thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Apply knowledge of these issues to administrative decision-making and policy development, and do so with a grounding in public health ethics</td>
<td>Political Savvy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Explore and analyze an important health policy issue and recommend a realistic approach to addressing the issue  
Analytical Thinking  
Information Seeking  
Political Savvy  
Innovative Thinking  
Communication Skills

5 Achieve Objective #5 by working effectively in a team of fellow students  
Accountability  
Team Dynamics

6 Cogently discuss and debate complex, current and future public health and health services issues  
Communication Skills

Requirements and Expectations

**Group Presentations/Policy Brief Projects:**  
Students work in assigned groups of 4-5 people for the purpose of completing this important part of the course. Each group is assigned a state and a policy problem (e.g., Whether Oklahoma should expand Medicaid, and if so, how). **Each group must prepare a policy brief, which includes, at a minimum:**

1) **A description of the problem**, which includes evidence of the extent of the problem you are trying to address,

2) **At least 4 different public policy options** to address the underlying problem (one of which is maintaining the status quo). **We are looking for public policy solutions that the state legislature could adopt.** Thus, do not consider options that can only be addressed at the federal level, or options that do not require legislative action. (Note: some non-policy relevant solutions can be turned into a state-level policy. For example, you might want to encourage health professionals to counsel their patients or provide evidence-based screening/treatments to address a health-related problem. Working with health professional associations to encourage providers to offer evidence-based treatment is not a public policy. However, changing the reimbursement system in Medicaid or the State Health Plan to offer financial incentives to providers who follow the recommended practice guidelines would be a public policy option.)

3) **A description of the 4-5 evaluation criteria you plan on using** (including those listed below), and how you will use the evaluation criteria to evaluate the different options (eg, on a scale of 1-5, with 5 being best, and 1 being worst). Note: you will be graded both on how well you describe your evaluation criteria, and if you defined how the grading system you are using.

4) **An evaluation of the different policy options** using your selected evaluation criteria. (This should be presented in both a matrix and written format.)

5) **A stakeholder analysis** (you only have to submit the stakeholder analysis for your final policy recommendation, but you should think about the stakeholder positions for the other proposed solutions—as that will affect the political feasibility of the different options).

There are examples of policy briefs and stakeholders analysis available on Sakai.
Students must use the following 3 evaluation criteria as part of their evaluation:

- **Impact**: You can look at either the number of people who will be positively impacted through the proposed policy, or the extent of the impact. Do not include both in your one “impact” evaluation criteria. If you want to look at both numbers and extent of the impact, create two separate evaluation criteria.
- **Political feasibility** (this will be largely dependent on your stakeholder analysis, as noted above)
- **Costs** to the state (you do not need to come up with exact cost estimates, just high, medium or low will be sufficient—I just want you to think about the potential cost implications for the different policy options). Generally, higher costs to the state should receive lower evaluation ratings. So, it may make more sense to call this “affordability” to the state, with 5 meaning the policy is more affordable (less costly), and 1 being the opposite.
- You must select 1-2 other evaluation criteria to use in your policy analysis. Some examples could include ease of implementation, other resources (aside from costs) that would need to be included, costs to other stakeholders, equity, etc.

Each group will make a short oral presentation of their different policy options for delivery on an assigned date. The written policy brief should generally not exceed 20 pages double spaced. In addition to the group project, each student will take the position of a particular stakeholder group (identified as part of your stakeholder analysis), and write a 1-2 page fact sheet representing the position of that stakeholder group (see below). Generally, about two students in the group will represent organizations supporting the proposed policy, and two students will represent organizations that oppose (or want modifications) to the proposed legislative policy.

Students are expected to use resources from the library, Internet, and course materials in documenting their work. (Include a list of resources, including websites and the date you visited each site as an addendum to your policy brief.) Projects should integrate concepts from course lectures and readings, and from other academic and professional literature. **Students are expected to meet with the TA and professor at least twice during the semester to discuss their policy paper and potential policy options** (the group will meet one time with the professor, and one time with the TA). In addition, students are required to fill out a group contract and submit it to the professor or TA no later than September 11, 2017. Ideally, students should set up a time to meet:

1) In the first 4 weeks, after you have done some initial research on the problem and as you are identifying potential policy options to consider to address your policy problem and appropriate evaluation criteria. **Each group should meet with the professor or TA the first time, no later than September 20, 2017.**

2) Before finalizing their policy analysis—to discuss how the different policy options meet the specified evaluation criteria and to discuss different stakeholder positions (to help develop the one-page fact sheets). **Each group should meet with the professor or TA no later than October 18, 2017.**

Final policy briefs and fact sheets are due before class on the day you are scheduled to present (either Wednesday, November 8, Monday, Wednesday, November 13, or Monday, November 15), and must be submitted to the appropriate link on the Sakai website.
Group project grades are based on the following areas:

1) **Clearly written paper covering the following (50 points in total)**
   - Description of the problem (providing evidence of the extent of the problem) (10 points)
   - Short description of different policy options considered, the evaluation criteria you selected, and the rating methods (10 points)
   - Evaluation of the policy options using the selected criteria (with a clear description of the evaluation criteria used, rating scale and any weighting to different evaluation criteria) (15 points). You should be applying the same evaluation criteria to all of the different policy options (including the status quo).
   - Well-designed tables and figures that convey relevant information (5 points)
   - Overall flow and presentation (10 points)

2) **Stakeholder analysis (10 points).** You should be able to articulate the stakeholder’s perspective on the different policy alternatives, along with an explanation of why they are likely to support/oppose those options, resources they bring to the table, and likelihood of getting involved in a particular policy debate. You should include both a table with the stakeholder’s analysis, but it should also be summarized in the text of your paper.
   Stakeholders’ analysis should include a grid that includes information on stakeholders, whether they support or oppose your recommended policy, the reasons for their support or opposition, the extent of their power, resources the group can bring to help support or oppose the policy; the likelihood of the group getting involved, and ways to influence their policy position (if they oppose or support but aren’t likely to get involved). Note: You should use the grid that is in the Stakeholder lecture on 9-27-17 in your stakeholder’s analysis section.

3) **Evidence-informed recommendations (20 points).** Your recommendation should flow from the evaluation of the different options using the set evaluation criteria. In addition, you should provide evidence to show the effectiveness of the different policy options, or if no research is available, information from other similar initiatives to support your final recommendations.

4) **Presentation (20 points).** Your presentation will be graded on the following factors:
   - Clear explanation of the problem you are trying to address (4 points)
   - Brief discussion of the different policy options your team considered (4 points)
   - Brief overview of the evaluation criteria you used, and how the policies scored (4 points)
   - Explanation of the final recommendation (4 points)
   - Q&A (4 points)

Each member will be required to complete a peer evaluation twice during the project (mid-semester) and once the project is completed. The peer evaluations will be factored into your grades. Your final grade can be reduced by up to 20 points if you did not actively participate in your group (as reflected in your peer evaluations).

The UNC Writing Center is available to help students with writing, if you need assistance. They have helpful information on their website at: [http://writingcenter.unc.edu/handouts/](http://writingcenter.unc.edu/handouts/).

**Individual Fact Sheet:**
Excellent writing skills are a critical competency for professional success. For this assignment, students will produce a 1-2 page individual fact sheet on your policy issue from the perspective of one
of the stakeholders of your policy brief. For example, if you are in a group working on expanding dental care access, your group may have students assigned to write fact sheets from the perspective of low-income consumer groups, dental society, hygienists’ association, Blue Cross and Blue Shield, or the association of community health centers. Each student will be assigned to represent one stakeholder group. You may not be able to find, through the internet, actual policy positions of a particular interest group. If you cannot find their actual position on your assigned policy, then you should do research to examine the stakeholder’s position on similar policy issues or look at the policy positions of similar organizations in other states (or at the national level).

Better papers will be easy to read, written for a lay person, and make a clear advocacy argument from the perspective of your stakeholder group. The CDC has a nice publication on everyday words for public health communications: http://www.cdc.gov/other/pdf/everydaywordsforpublichealthcommunication_final_11-5-15.pdf.

The fact sheet should either support or oppose the proposed legislative policy recommendation of your full group. (The group should make up a bill number for the proposed legislation, so you can each ask legislators to either support bill #XXX, oppose bill #XXX, or amend bill #XXX). You can also argue in opposition to the recommended policy option, but in favor of another policy option that your group considered.

Good fact sheets generally have limited number of points they convey (eg, no more than 3 major points in support of your position). Fact sheets should also be concise (no more than one-double sided page, although one single-sided page is better), easy to read (key points highlighted and lots of white space). They need to be grammatically correct, free of typos and other errors, and logically constructed. Examples of fact sheets and fact sheet hints will be listed on Sakai. This short paper is worth 10 percent of students’ grades.

Individual fact sheet grades are based on the following:

1) **Introduction** specifying what you want the legislator to do. (You should ask them to support or oppose or amend a particular piece of legislation) (10 points)

2) **Key messages.** The fact sheet should focus on a few key (generally 2-3) messages to explain why the legislators should support, oppose, or amend the legislation. The fact sheet should both describe the problem (if seeking affirmative legislation) or why the proposed legislation is bad (if seeking to oppose the legislation). The points should be compelling, and should be consistent with the position the stakeholder group would likely take. It should be understandable to a lay person (eg, limited jargon or acronyms, technical language explained, etc.). (40 points)

3) **Fact Sheet Flow.** Fact sheet should use headings to highlight important points. Only key points should be highlighted. (Don’t highlight everything—the point is to draw the readers’ eyes to the most important points. The fact sheet should be attractive, well laid out, easy to read (generally 12-point type, lots of white space, grammatically correct). Good use of graphics or human interest story is helpful. Ideally, one single-sided page (no more than 1 page, double sided). (40 points)

4) **Appropriate citations/references.** Fact sheet should have a few references, unless there are no useful references. (5 points)
5) **Name and contact information.** Fact sheet should have the name, organization, and contact information at the bottom of the fact sheet. You can include made-up contact information (eg, it doesn’t have to be your personal phone number or email, but you need to include something so legislators can contact you if they have questions). (5 points)

Note: Fact sheets need to be written for a lay audience to understand. The CDC has a nice publication on everyday words for public health communications: http://www.cdc.gov/other/pdf/everydaywordsforpublichealthcommunication_final_11-5-15.pdf.

**Exams:**

**There are two exams during the class. Both are closed book exams.** They will consist of a mix of short answer, brief essay and/or lengthier case questions. The exam requires students to demonstrate basic knowledge and comprehension, to apply concepts to specific problems and situations, and to analyze how different concepts, trends, and issues influence health care delivery and policy. The exam covers material from required readings, lectures, guest speakers, and in-class discussions through the date that the exam is administered.

The two exams are:

- **Monday, October 2, 2017.** Covers the first half of the class, including different financing systems (private insurance, Medicaid, CHIP, Medicare, ACA, and factors driving health care costs), and public policy analysis process.
- **Monday, December 4, 2017.** Covers the second half of the class, including different types of health care professionals, types of health care and public health providers, oral health and behavioral health, quality of care, factors that influence health outcomes, and international comparisons.

**Case Studies (Sept. 20, 2017):**

Students will work in their groups to analyze three scenarios for health plan selection. Students should look at the options in the Marketplace for these three scenarios and come to class prepared to discuss which health plans they would have selected for the fictitious 35 year old. The scenarios are posted on Sakai under resources (along with slides and other readings).

**Cell Phones and Laptops:**

Turn off cell phones in class and during exams. Laptops should be used in class only for taking notes and for looking up information relevant to the topic being discussed.

**Evaluation/Grading**

**Grade Components**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>% of Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-class Exam 1</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-class Exam 2</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group policy brief</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual fact sheet</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grading Scale**

- 92 or above (H)
- 75 to 91 (P)
- 60 to 74 (L)
- Below 60 (F)

NOTE: Students are expected to come to class prepared to participate in discussions. **The course is designed to encourage interaction and debate by students.** The quality (not quantity) of a student’s in-class comments and questions can move the student’s final grade up one notch on the above scale (from, say, a P to an H). Quality is assessed by the relevance, thoughtfulness, and understanding displayed.

**Recognizing, Valuing and Encouraging Diversity**

The importance of diversity is recognized in the mission statement of HPM. In the classroom, diversity *strengthens* the products, *enriches* the learning, and *broadens* the perspectives of all in the class. Diversity requires an atmosphere of inclusion and tolerance, which oftentimes challenges our own closely-held ideas, as well as our personal comfort zones. The results, however, create a sense of community and promote excellence in the learning environment. This class will follow principles of inclusion, respect, tolerance, and acceptance that support the values of diversity.

Diversity includes consideration of: (1) life experiences, including type, variety, uniqueness, duration, personal values, political viewpoints, and intensity; and (2) factors related to “diversity of presence,” including, among others, age, economic circumstances, ethnic identification, family educational attainment, disability, gender identity, gender expression, geographic origin, maturity, race, religion, sexual orientation, social position, and veteran status.

**UNC Honor Code**

The principles of academic honesty, integrity, and responsible citizenship govern the performance of all academic work and student conduct at the University as they have during the long life of this institution. Your acceptance of enrollment in the University presupposes a commitment to the principles embodied in the Code of Student Conduct and a respect for this most significant Carolina tradition. Your reward is in the practice of these principles.
Your participation in this course comes with the expectation that your work will be completed in full observance of the Honor Code. Academic dishonesty in any form is unacceptable, because any breach in academic integrity, however small, strikes destructively at the University's life and work.

Each student will be expected to review the materials on plagiarism from the UNC Library: [http://guides.lib.unc.edu/c.php?g=9028&p=45251](http://guides.lib.unc.edu/c.php?g=9028&p=45251). Make sure to review all the information contained in all the tabs on the website. It is an honor code violation to plagiarize materials, which includes (but is not limited to), taking direct quotations from other documents without properly citing it. (For example, it is plagiarism if you include a direct quotation and include the reference, but do not include quotation marks. Anything taken verbatim must include quotation marks—and you should use direct quotations sparingly).

If you have any questions about your responsibility or the responsibility of faculty members under the Honor Code, please consult with someone in either the Office of the Student Attorney General (919-966-4084) or the Office of the Dean of Students (919-966-4042).

Read “The Instrument of Student Judicial Governance” ([http://instrument.unc.edu](http://instrument.unc.edu)).

**Accommodations for People with Disabilities or Certain Medical Conditions**

UNC-CH supports all reasonable accommodations, including resources and services, for students with disabilities, chronic medical conditions, a temporary disability, or a pregnancy complication resulting in difficulties with accessing learning opportunities.

All accommodations are coordinated through the UNC Office of Accessibility Resources & Services (ARS), [http://accessibility.unc.edu](http://accessibility.unc.edu); phone 919-962-8300. Students must document/register their need for accommodations with ARS before accommodations can be implemented.”

**Course Evaluation**

HPM participates in the UNC-CH’s online course evaluation system, enabled at the end of each semester by DigitalMeasures. Your responses will be anonymous, with feedback provided in the aggregate. Open-ended comments will be shared with instructors, but not identified with individual students. Your participation in course evaluation is an expectation, since providing constructive feedback is a professional obligation. Feedback is critical, moreover, to improving the quality of our courses, as well as for instructor assessment.

**Resources**

**Textbooks**

None required. Recommended (not required):

Website:
HPM 754 has its own website on Sakai. This syllabus is on the website.

Articles: All articles can be accessed via links available on the course web site or will be posted on Sakai.

Web Sources:

Among the many useful websites are:

- The Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation (www.kff.org)
- Kaiser Foundation State Health Facts (www.statehealthfacts.org)
- Health Affairs “Health Policy Briefs” (www.healthaffairs.org/healthpolicybriefs) and the Health Affairs Blogs (http://healthaffairs.org/blog/)
- The Congressional Budget Office (www.cbo.gov)
- The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (www.cdc.gov)
- The National Center for Health Statistics (www.cdc.gov/nchs/)
- The Commonwealth Fund (www.cmwf.org)
- The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (http://www.rwjf.org/)
- The National Conference of State Legislatures (www.ncls.org) (This may be particularly helpful in the policy analyses)
- The American Legislative Exchange Council (www.alec.org) (This may be particularly helpful in the policy analyses)
- The National Academy of State Health Policy (http://www.nashp.org) (This may be particularly helpful in the policy analyses)
- Washington State Institute for Public Policy (look at their cost-benefit analysis of different policy topics) (http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/BenefitCost) (This may be particularly helpful in the policy analyses)

A more complete list of useful websites will be posted on Sakai. Review of websites is not required unless specified. These URLs are provided as a service.

Course Schedule (Readings and Assignments)

Note: The schedule below lists the speakers for each section (either Pam Silberman, guest lectures, or student led classes). It also includes the objectives and readings for each session. The objectives and readings may be updated during the semester; a current schedule will always be posted on the course Sakai site.

In addition, there is a separate document, posted on Sakai, with useful health-related websites. This document may include links to organizational websites that will be useful to you for your recitations and for your policy analysis and mock legislative presentation.
Wednesday, August 23, 2017

Lecture 1: Introduction, Course Overview and Mini-History of U.S. Health Care
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:
- Give an overview of the US medical and health system
- Introduce students to key concepts they will learn throughout the semester
- Know what the course will cover, how its structured (including recitations, exam, group project, and final presentation)
- Give a brief history of the US medical and public health systems
- Sharpen one’s interest in and ability to think critically about health care in the U.S.

Mandatory Readings:

Optional Reading:

Monday, August 28, 2017

Lecture 2: Policy Analysis and Discussion of Class Assignment
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:
- Describe the forms of health policy
- Understand the difference between public and private policies
- Identify sources of authority for development and implementation of health policies
- Recognize that there are a number of frameworks, theories or approaches to explaining the policy process
- Discuss one approach to policy analysis and its basic steps
- Preview the steps students will be expected to include as part of their policy analysis group exercise

Mandatory Readings:
http://www.sciencedirect.com.libproxy.lib.unc.edu/science/article/pii/S003335060400099X (Note: if you click on this link and you are asked to pay to get access, then you will need to go through the UNC Health Sciences online journal holdings to access all the articles. One way to do this is to go to unc.edu, hit the “library” tab on the top bar, then you can either go to the ejournal you want, or can search through google scholar. Either will prompt you to input your onyen. Once you do that, you should be able to access the article without being prompted for payment).

Optional reading:

Wednesday, August 30, 2017
Lecture 3: Legislative and Regulatory Process
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:
- To gain a basic understanding of the legislative process
- To gain a basic understanding of the regulatory process
- Be able to explain the difference between the legislative and regulatory processes

Mandatory Readings:


Other useful websites:

Pending and past legislation:
- National: Congress.gov
- North Carolina: www.ncleg.net

Proposed regulations (federal and state registers):
- National: https://www.federalregister.gov/
- North Carolina: www.oah.state.nc.us/rules/register/

Final regulations:
- National: http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/searchECFR
- North Carolina: http://reports.oah.state.nc.us/ncac.asp

Monday, Sept. 4, 2017
Labor Day (No Class)

Wednesday, September 6, 2017
Lecture 4: Private Insurance (Group, Nongroup, Fee-for-Service, Managed Care)
Objectives:
- Understand the history of private insurance coverage
- Understand sources and types of private health insurance coverage
- Understand some of the problems in health insurance (including moral hazard and adverse selection)
- Understand how employers and insurers have responded to rising health care costs
- Learn about insurance protections built into the Affordable Care Act

Mandatory Readings:
Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Research and Education Trust. Employer Health Benefits. 2016 Summary of Findings. Available at:

Gruber J. The Role of Consumer Copayments for Health Care: Lessons from the RAND Health Insurance Experiment and Beyond. Kaiser Family Foundation. Oct. 2006. Available at:
http://kaiserfamilyfoundation.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/7566.pdf

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 2017
Lecture 5: Uninsured, Underinsured, and Nonfinancial Barriers to Care
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:
- Understand the demographics of the uninsured
- Understand the health and financial consequences of being uninsured
- Understand that many with insurance are underinsured
- Learn about nonfinancial barriers to access (racial and ethnic disparities in care, language, geography, disabilities)

Mandatory Readings:
Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured. The Uninsured: A Primer. Key Facts about Health Insurance and the Uninsured in the Era of Health Reform. November 2016. Available at:

Note: Students must submit Policy Project Team Contracts to the Professor or TA no later than September 11, 2017.

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2017
Lecture 6: Medicaid and CHIP
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH
Objectives:

- Gain a basic understanding of Medicaid eligibility and covered services
- Understand how the ACA has changed Medicaid eligibility
- Know how Medicaid is financed and its impact on the states
- Gain a basic understanding of the Children’s Health Insurance Program

Mandatory Readings:


MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2017
Lecture 7: Medicare
Jonathan Oberlander, PhD

Objectives:

- Gain a basic understanding of Medicare history, eligibility and services
- Be able to distinguish the four different Medicare components (Part A, B, C, and D)
- Know how Medicare is financed, long-term financing challenges, and proposals to reduce long-term spending growth in Medicare.

Mandatory Readings (Note: readings may change so check most up-to-date version of syllabus):


Optional Reading:

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2017
Lecture 8: Coverage Options under the ACA
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:

- Understand new coverage options available under the ACA (including Medicaid expansion and Exchanges)
• Know which states are expanding Medicaid and creating own state-based Exchanges
• Understand other health insurance mandates (including essential benefits package, coverage for people with pre-existing conditions)

Mandatory Readings (and Viewings):


Case studies: Students will work in their groups to analyze three scenarios for health plan selection. Students should look at the options in the Marketplace for these three scenarios and come to class prepared to discuss which health plans they would have selected for the fictitious 35 year old. The scenarios are posted on Sakai under resources (along with slides and other readings).

Optional readings:
Kaiser Family Foundation has a series of one-page papers on Obamacare and You.  Available at: [http://kff.org/health-reform/fact-sheet/obamacare-and-you/]

Kaiser subsidy calculator available at: [http://kff.org/interactive/subsidy-calculator/]


Healthcare.gov is the official web portal to purchase coverage in the federal marketplace.  It also has information and fact sheets on the ACA (under the see topics tab). Available at: [https://www.healthcare.gov]

Note: Each Policy Team should have met with either the professor or TA (as assigned), no later than September 20th for the first check in.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 2017
Lecture 9: Recent Efforts to “Repeal and Replace” Obamacare
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:
• To understand the different proposals debated in Congress
• Understand and be able to discuss potential impact of those proposals on individuals, providers, the insurance industry, and state government

Readings:

(Note: it is OK if you read the 1-2 page summary of each of these bills rather than the entire detailed summaries).

Wednesday, September 27, 2017
Lecture 10: Stakeholders and the Policy Making Process
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:
• Be able to define the term “stakeholders” and discuss their interests and roles in the policymaking process
• Identify and categorize examples of key stakeholders in the policymaking process
• Understand how to conduct a basic stakeholders analysis

Readings:


Monday, October 2, 2017
Exam 1

Wednesday, October 4, 2017
Lecture 11: Health Professional Workforce
Erin Fraher, PhD

Objectives
At the end of the class, students should be able to describe:
• The key issues facing workforce policy analysis at the state and national level
• The implications of health reform on workforce policy
• The debate about whether we have enough health care professionals to meet future demand

Readings (Note: readings may change so make sure to check most current syllabus):


**MONDAY, OCTOBER 9, 2017**

*Lecture 12: Hospitals and Integrated Delivery Systems*

**Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH**

*Objectives:*  
- Understand the structure and organization of US hospitals  
- Understand the challenges faced by the hospital industry  
- Understand the design and development of an IDS under population health models

*Mandatory Readings:*


**WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2017**

*Lecture 13: Pharmaceuticals and the Health of the Public*

**Stacie Dusetzina, PhD**

*Objectives:*  
- Understand what is entailed in creating drugs and bringing them to market
• Know the nature and extent of the role of pharmaceuticals in health care delivery
• Describe and differentiate among the financial and policy/ethical issues surrounding the pharmaceutical industry
• Know the impact drugs have on disease
• Know the federal government’s role in regulating pharmaceuticals

Mandatory Readings (Note: readings may change so make sure to check most current syllabus):

Also look at the following Kaiser poll: 

MORNING, OCTOBER 16, 2017
Lecture 14: Behavioral Health
Alex Gertner, PhD student

Objectives:
• Describe the historical progression of the provision of behavioral health services
• Understand the nature and prevalence of mental health and substance abuse disorders
• Describe the issues surrounding financing mental health and substance abuse services
• Understand recent policy changes that affect the demand and supply of behavioral health services

Mandatory Readings:

http://kaiserfamilyfoundation.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/8182.pdf.


WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2017
Lecture 15: Oral Health
Kathleen Knocke, TA

Objectives:
- Understand the nature and prevalence of oral health disorders
- Describe the issues surrounding financing and insurance coverage for dental services
- Understand the different professionals that make up the oral health workforce, and challenges meeting all the oral health needs in the state and country

Readings:


Note: Each Policy Team should have met with either the professor or TA (as assigned), no later than October 18th for the second check in.

Monday, October 23, 2017
Lecture 16: Long-Term Services and Supports
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:
- Understand the full continuum of long term services and supports (LTSS)
- Know who uses LTSS
- Identify sources of financial support for LTSS
- Discuss and evaluate policy issues facing LTSS now and in the future

Readings:
WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2017
Lecture 17: Public Health
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:
- Define “public health” and know its historical development
- Understand roles and duties of government in providing public health services
- Identify issues facing public health now and in the future

Mandatory Readings:


MONDAY, OCTOBER 30, 2017
Lecture 18: Safety Net Programs and the Veterans Health Administration
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:
- Understand the different types of safety net programs available to serve the uninsured (FQHCs, free clinics, rural health centers, pharmaceutical assistance programs, Project Access models)
- Understand the strengths and limitations of these models
- Understand the gaps in coverage
- Understand basics of the VA and military health systems

Mandatory Readings:

content/uploads/2011/03/MH_Ch4.pdf. (Note: while this chapter focuses on access to behavioral health services, it gives a good overview of the VA and military health systems).

**WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 2017**

*Lecture 19: Putting Together an Advocacy Campaign*

*Hugh Tilson, Jr., JD, MPH*

**Objectives:**
- Understand how to design a legislative campaign
- Understand your short term and long term goals
- Understand trade-offs in selecting a sponsor
- Understand how to work in coalitions to advance your legislative agenda
- Strategies to involve the media and grassroots

**Mandatory Readings:**
How to write an advocacy fact sheet and make a short legislative presentation (on Sakai)

Silberman, P. Consumers Guide to Health Care Policy Making: How to Change North Carolina Health Policies. 1997 Aug. (on Sakai) *(Note: this is outdated, but still may provide helpful steps for you to think about if you want to develop an advocacy campaign).*

**MONDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2017**

*Lecture 20: International Comparisons of Cost and Quality*

*Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH*

**Objectives:**
- Understand key differences between the US and other comparable countries’ health care systems
- Discuss the report from the Institute of Medicine on health disparities between the US and 16 peer countries
- Attempt to explain the differences in these poor health outcomes

**Readings:**


**Optional resources:**
Wednesday, November 8, 2017
Class Presentations (Group 1-4)

Monday, November 13, 2017
Class Presentations (Group 5-8)

Wednesday, November 15, 2017
Class Presentations (Group 9-13)

Monday, November 20, 2017
Lecture 21: Factors Driving Up Health Care Costs and New Financing Models
Stuart Altman, PhD

Objectives:

- Understand trends in health care cost escalation and how it is expected to grow over the next decade
- Understand the potential impact of health care escalation on federal, state, and family expenditures over time
- Understand what factors economists identify as driving up health care costs (e.g., technology, unnecessary use of health services, moral hazard, pharmaceuticals)
- Learn about different strategies to reduce rising health care costs
- Understand reasons for recent decline in health care spending

Readings:

KFF. Health Care Costs: A Primer. May 2012. Available at:
https://kaiserfamilyfoundation.files.wordpress.com/2013/01/7670-03.pdf


http://content.healthaffairs.org/content/36/3/553.full.pdf

Wednesday, November 22, 2017
Thanksgiving Break

Monday, November 27, 2017
Lecture 22: Quality of Care
Pam Silberman, JD, DrPH

Objectives:
• Understand the major components of health care quality (eg, structure, process, outcomes, consumer satisfaction)
• Know how quality is measured and the state of quality in health services in the U.S.
• Understand the causes of poor quality

Readings:
http://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2012/08/13/big-med


WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 2017
Lecture 23: Other Factors that Influence Health (Socioecological Model of Health Behavior)  
Shelley Golden, PhD

Objectives
• Understand other factors that influence health aside from medical care (eg, genetics, health behaviors, community and environment.
• Understand the socioecological model of health
• Learn the major contributors to death and disability in this country (eg, tobacco use, substance abuse disorders, mental health, etc.)
• Understand how social determinants of health influence health outcomes

Mandatory Readings (Note: readings may change, so check most up-to-date version of the syllabus):  
http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=198357&resultClick=3. (Note: there is a correction in 2005. The correction is not required but if you want to read it, it is available at: http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=200177&resultClick=3.)


Monday, December 4, 2017
Exam 2

Wednesday, December 6, 2017
Class wrap-up
Guest Speaker Bios

Stuart Altman, PhD. Dr. Altman is the Sol C. Chaikin Professor of National Health Policy and former Dean of The Heller School for Social Policy & Management at Brandeis University. Dr. Altman is an economist whose research interests are primarily in the area of federal and state health policy. From 2000-2002 he was Co-Chair for the Legislative Health Care Task Force for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In 1997, he was appointed by President Clinton to the National Bipartisan Commission on the Future of Medicare. Dr. Altman was Dean of The Florence Heller Graduate School from 1977 until July 1993 and interim President of Brandeis University from 1990-1991. He served as the Chairman of the congressionally legislated Prospective Payment Assessment Commission for twelve years. ProPac was responsible for advising the U.S. Congress and the Administration on the functioning of the Medicare Diagnostic Related Group (DRG) Hospital Payment System and other system reforms. Between 1971 and 1976, Dr. Altman was Deputy Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation/Health at HEW. While serving in that position, he was one of the principal contributors to the development and advancement of the Administration's National Health Insurance proposal. Dr. Altman is a member of The Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences and, Chair of the Schneider Institute for Health Policy at The Heller School for Social Policy & Management, Brandeis University. He is currently Chair of the Massachusetts Health Policy Commission which is responsible for assuring that Massachusetts spending for healthcare stay within limits set by its growth in state income. He has an MA and PhD degree in economics from UCLA.

Stacie Dusetzina, PhD. Dr. Dusetzina is a health services researcher and Assistant Professor at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in the UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy and the Gillings School of Global Public Health. Her work focuses on measuring and evaluating population-level use and costs of anticancer therapy in the United States. Prescription drug pricing – particularly in oncology - has been a topic of increasing interest in recent years, with very little population-based data available to inform public debates. Dr. Dusetzina’s work has contributed to the evidence base for the role of drug costs on patient access to care. Specifically, she led the first paper to evaluate the role of privately insured patient out-of-pocket costs for imatinib, a highly effective anticancer medication, and the impact of having higher costs on patient adherence to therapy. In addition, Dr. Dusetzina has led projects estimating the potential burden of out-of-pocket costs for outpatient infused cancer therapies across multiple data sources and payer types, including uninsured individuals. She also led work focused on estimating out-of-pocket cost burden and formulary coverage for orally-administered anticancer therapies on Medicare Part D plans. In that paper she was able to document that Medicare beneficiaries on virtually all available Part D plans would face substantial out-of-pocket costs for orally-administered anticancer medications and that out-of-pocket spending would not be significantly reduced even after the Part D coverage gap (i.e., “the donut hole”) is closed in 2020. Finally, she has documented spending by health plans on orally-administered anticancer medications and trends in spending at launch and over time. Dr. Dusetzina’s work on the topic of prescription drug spending and access has been broadly covered by Reuters, the Washington Post, STAT News and the Wall Street Journal.

Erin Fraher, PhD. Dr. Fraher holds a joint appointment as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Family Medicine and Research Assistant Professor in the Department of Surgery. She is the Director of the Carolina Health Workforce Research Center, one of five national health workforce research centers funded by the Health Resources and Services Administration to provide impartial, policy-relevant research that answers the question: what health care workforce is needed to ensure access to high
quality, efficient health care for the U.S. population? Dr. Fraher is well known for her ability to communicate complex research findings in ways that are easily understood and policy-relevant.

**Shelly Diane Golden, PhD.** Shelley Golden is a Clinical Assistant Professor in the Department of Health Behavior at the School of Public Health at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Her research focuses on the political and economic conditions in which individuals and policymakers make decisions that impact population health. Most of her current work focuses on tobacco product price policies, especially those implemented at the state and local level. Through her research, teaching and other public health practice endeavors, Dr. Golden has had the privilege of working with a variety of agencies and groups, including the Health Promotion Branch of the North Carolina Division of Public Health, the United States Indian Health Service, the North Carolina SAFE KIDS Coalition, and the Orange County Rape Crisis Center. Dr. Golden received her bachelors of science in biology from Yale University, and earned her master’s of public health in health behavior and health education, as well as her doctorate degree in public policy, from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

**Jonathan Oberlander, PhD.** Jonathan Oberlander is Professor and Chair of Social Medicine and Professor of Health Policy & Management at the University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill School of Medicine and Gillings School of Global Public Health. Dr. Oberlander’s research focuses on health care politics and policy, health reform, and Medicare. Currently, he is studying and writing about health care cost containment, implementation of the Affordable Care Act, Medicare, the Children’s Health Insurance Program, and the political history of U.S. health care reform. Dr. Oberlander holds a Ph.D. and M.A. in political science from Yale University, and a B.A. in political science from the University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill.